# How often is "right" for QC frequency?

Richard Pang PhD, FAACC



大胆假设、小心求证

# 如何在生活中不因循旧规



☎胡适先生在1919年提出「大胆假 设,小心求证」的治学方法。几乎 每个人都知道小心求证的重要,但 往往忽略了小心求证前的大胆假 设。……若我们只走别人走过的路, 那社会将失去活力而停滞不前,如 何在生活中不因循旧规,是每个人 都该学习的功课……

http://phtv.ifeng.com/program/kjbfz/detail\_2012\_04/20/14036880\_0.shtml

# What is the purpose(s) of Quality Control?

大胆的假设, 酸



### Calibration vs Quality Control

There is confusion in regarding the terms "calibration" and "quality control", or QC.

The processes of calibration and QC are two sides of the same coin: they complement each other.

The calibration establishes an initial point of measurement or data point in a reaction; the QC checks that the calibration is correct. Together, they determine the reliability of the method, i.e., the accuracy and precision of the method.

### Calibration vs Quality Control



What's the meaning of Calibration? **G** linearity 𝒴 curve fitting c energy of the source lamp

- 🕫 probe position
- sampling volume
- 🛚 reagent volume
- Incubation temperature
- R Deterioration of the reagent (ingredients)

# Problem: Calibration frequency

#### **Question:**

Can the lab decide on their own the calibration frequency on different assay?
In the eyes of ISO 15189 assessor, is it OK if customer change/ modify the vendor suggested procedure (in this case, the calibration frequency).

If yes, how it should be probably done?

What is the "right" frequency for running QC samples in your laboratory?

It is widely accepted that laboratories should perform QC at least every day of patient testing.
 However, is this the right QC frequency for every assay and for every laboratory? Is running QC once per day really sufficient?

ISO 15189 regulations don't state a recommended QC frequency but they do recommend that:

**∞ 5.6.2.2 Quality control materials** "Quality Control materials shall be <u>periodically</u> examined with a frequency that is based on the <u>stability of the procedure</u> and the <u>risk</u> of harm to the patient from an erroneous result."

○ ISO 15189 understands that differing tests and situations will require differing QC frequencies. So how do you use this advice to work out the correct QC frequency for the assays in your lab? Manufacturer's Recommendations

○ The minimum frequency for QC testing is the frequency defined by the manufacturer or the frequency defined by the regulatory agency that inspects or assesses your laboratory, whichever is more stringent.

## **Other Factors**

Stability of the analyte and the method system

- Real Number of patient tests that are routinely performed

ন্থ Change of reagent Lots নে Recalibration

#### How often is "right" for Quality Control?



There are various factors that you need to consider when deciding an appropriate QC frequency. A good place to start is by asking the following questions:

₩ Which assays are more stable compared to others?

- ₩ Which tests are higher risk and have a higher impact if results are erroneous?
- Realized Ween QC evaluations?
- **What is the time between QC evaluations?**

## A good place to start is by asking the following questions:

Which assays are more stable compared to others?

Which tests are higher risk and have a higher impact if an erroneous result is released?

What is the time between QC evaluations?

How many patient samples are you running in between QC evaluations?

# Which assays are more stable compared to others?



# Which assays are more stable compared to others?

Some assays naturally perform better than others, giving consistently better results. On the other hand, some assays perform inconsistently, having a <u>higher</u> <u>rate of error</u> and much <u>lower stability</u>.

№ It's important that laboratories can recognize which assays are more stable and consistent in comparison to others and ensure that they are running QC at an appropriate frequency.

Higher rate of error and much Lower stability

# PT/EQAS

Result of the second secon

# Which tests are higher risk and have a higher impact for an erroneous result?



# Patient Safety

 A different approach would seem appropriate to establish guidelines for QC frequency in the context of patient safety.
 In this case we need to look at the whole process wherein an erroneous lab test result may occur and can compromise patient safety.

# Patient Safety

**R**It's important that you run QC more frequently for higher risk tests. **With higher risk tests there is a greater** risk of harm to the patient, therefore it's of utmost importance that the results released are both accurate and reliable.

Faster is NOT necessarily **Better** 

Any tests that have <u>the following characteristics</u> should be considered high risk and QC should be run more frequently in these instances.

A test where there could be a detrimental consequence, should the wrong test results be released

A test that supports the clinician's decision in isolation

**R** A test that is acted upon immediately

How many patient samples are you running in between QC evaluations?

- Neither labs would recognize any QC failure until the next day, meaning erroneous patient results may have been released.

How many patient samples are you running in between QC evaluations?

☆This will ultimately save time and money, and most importantly will reduce the risk of harm to the patient.

Question: Why 50-100?

# What is the time between QC evaluations?

Ret's consider another scenario.

- Great news, right? This perhaps is a good move for Lab B as QC will be run more frequently, reducing risk for their patients. However, it's not good for Lab A.

# Let's consider another scenario

- For Lab B, they will detect the problem straight away on day 1 and will be able to investigate the problem preventing the release of erroneous patient results.
- For Lab A, the error will have occurred on day 5 of their patient testing, but the problem won't be recognized until day 10!
- This could spell disaster for any laboratory, with the release of potentially erroneous results causing misdiagnosis, incurring cost and resulting in a negative impact on patient care.

# A good rule of thumb

- Therefore, it's vital to consider <u>the time between QC</u> <u>evaluations</u> in correlation to <u>the number of patient</u> samples being tested.

No straightforward answer to how frequently you should run QC

- 1. Make sure you are running QC more frequently for <u>high risk</u> and <u>unstable</u> tests;
- 2. Ensure you <u>start</u> and <u>end</u> patient testing with a QC evaluation; and
- 3. Make the <u>time between QC</u> evaluations <u>shorter</u> than the <u>time needed to take</u> <u>corrective action</u> in the case of an erroneous result.

#### Additional QC Requirements

○ Finally QC samples should also be tested <u>before and after</u> any event that has the potential to adversely affect the testing process e.g.

change of reagent batch,

Instrument maintenance and calibration.

#### What is the Solution? 大胆假设,小心求证



Brainstorming



A Strategic Planning vs Strategic Thinking

C.

### Practical QC Design Process

- 1. Define TEa for test of interest
- 2. Estimate SD or CV from routine QC data
- 3. Estimate Bias from comparison of methods, PT survey, Peer Comparison
- 4. Calculate Sigma =(%TEa-%Bias)/%CV
- 5. Use QC software or paper charts to translate Sigma into Statistical QC (control rules, N)

#### James Westgard, PhD

#### Ideas for QC Strategy that Don't Require Math Calculations

- 1. Always end patient testing with a QC.
- 2. Make the time between QC's shorter than the time needed to correct results.
- 3. Compare CV's to your peers, if you're not in the top 20% get there.
- 4. Set the QC Target to the group mean for multiple instruments.
- 5. Know your number of patient tests between QC's.
- 6. Estimate the magnitude of the Out-of-Control condition before correcting it.
- 7. Use a realistic TEa: What magnitude will cause patient harm?
- 8. If running a 1:2s rule, and you have a failure, repeat it. Just ONCE.
- 9. Divide analytes into High/Low sigma groups
  - a. High sigma rules goal: reduce false rejection rate
  - b. Low sigma rules goal: increase frequency of QC

#### Curtis Parvin, PhD

# Basic QC Requirements

Start up QC
End-of-Run QC
end of batch
end of shift
end of day
Event (adverse effect) changes
cs change of reagent batch
instrument maintenance and calibration



QC Frequency (Collective Opinion Paper)

- >6σ (excellent performance) evaluate with <u>one QC per</u> <u>day</u> (alternating levels between days) and a 1-3.5s rule.
- 4σ–6σ (suited for purpose) evaluate with <u>two levels of</u> <u>QC per day</u> and the 1-2.5s rule.
- 3σ–4σ (poor performance) use a combination of rules with <u>two levels of QC twice per day</u>.
- <3σ (problematic) maximum QC, <u>three levels</u>, <u>three</u> <u>times a day</u>. Consider testing specimens in duplicate.





Assumption(s) 大胆假设, 小心求证

- R If Sigma Value:

cs =6 run 1 additional QC every 60 samples
cs =5 .....every 50 samples
cs =4 .....every 40 samples
cs =3 .....every 30 samples
cs =2 .....every 20 samples



Adverse Factors that would affect QC frequency

- Clinical Alert (0.1, 0.2, 0.3; low, moderate, high)
- Calibration Stability (0.3, 0.2, 0.1 ; low, moderate, high)

Reagent Stability (0.3, 0.2, 0.1; low, moderate, high) Risk Scores



# **Clinical Alert Level**

For an outpatient service, one can estimate this cycle time to be as long as 2-3 days or even one month.
For a non-acute hospital setting, the test repeat cycle may be perhaps 4 hours.
For an intensive care setting, the cycle time may be as short as 1 hour for chemistry tests and 30 minutes for blood gases.









**Reagent Stability** 



#### Choosing OWN (Individualized) QC Rules Based on Error Rates

#### $SE_c = [(TEa-bias)/s] - z$

ΔSE <sub>c</sub>	CQC Rule						
	Low	Moderate	High				
> 3	1-3.5s	1-3s	1-2.5s (D, I)				
2-3	1-3s	1-2.5s	1-2s (D, I)				
1-2	1-2.5s (D)	1-2s (D, +)	1-2s (D, +, I)				
<1	1-2s (D, I)	1-2s (D, +, I)	1-2s (D, +, I)				

D: examine QC chart Daily, +: Increase control frequency;I: Initiate corrective action

Low Risk

Error Rate Categories

High Risk

Low = method that experiences <3% QC flags/year Moderate = method that experiences 3-10% QC flags/year High = method that experiences >10% QC flags/year

Medium Risk



By courtesy of Alan Wu, PhD, FACB

## **Error Rates**

CRE Error Rate Categories
CM = method that experiences <3% QC
flags/year
CM Moderate = method that experiences 3-10% QC
flags/year
CM High = method that experiences >10% QC
flags/year



Per year or Per month



# **Risk Assessment Metrics**

Adverse effects to Sigma Metric

Test	QC Level	Sigma Value	Clinical Alert Level	Calibration Stability	Reagent Stability	Error Rates	Risk Score	QC Frequency No. of Patient Samples per QC	Samples per Day	QC per Day		
Serum K	1	5	0.3	0.1	0.1	0.1	4.4	44	500	11		
ALT	2	6	0.2	0.1	0.1	0.1	5.5	55	500	9		
Са	1	4	0.3	0.3	0.3	0.3	2.8	28	300	11		
Glu	3	6	0.3	0.1	0.1	0.1	5.4	51	200	4		
FT4	2	3	0.2	0.3	0.2	0.2	2.2	21	100	5		
AFP	3	3	0.1	0.1	0.2	0.2	2.4	24	50	2		
TnI	1	4	0.3	0.2	0.2	0.1	3.2	32	20	-		



大胆假设、小心求证



# 大胆假设、小心求证

○ 科学精神在于寻求事实,寻求真理○ 科学态度在于撇开成见,搁起感情,只认得事实,只跟着证据走

○科学方法只是「大胆的假设,小心的求证」十 个字。没有证据,只可悬而不断;证据不够只 可假设,不可武断;必须等到证实之后,方才 奉为定论



